

AD-A085 125

FLORIDA STATE UNIV TALLAHASSEE

F/G 12/1

CALCULATION OF STATE PROBABILITIES FOR A STOCHASTIC LANCHESTER --ETC(U)

NOV 79 L BILLARD

N62271-79-M-2395

UNCLASSIFIED

NPS -55-79-029

NL

END
DATE
FILMED
7 80
DTIC

LEVEL

4

NPS55-79-029

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
Monterey, California

ADA 085125



DTIC
ELECTE
JUN 4 1980
D
C

CALCULATION OF STATE PROBABILITIES
FOR A
STOCHASTIC LANCHESTER COMBAT MODEL

by

L. Billard

November 1979

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.
Prepared for: Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940

DDC FILE COPY

80 6 3 06 7

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA

REAR ADMIRAL T. F. DEDMAN
SUPERINTENDENT

JACK R. BORSTING
PROVOST

This work was funded under contract number N62271-79-M-2395 in support of the research program in stochastic processes at the Naval Postgraduate School.

Reproduction of all part of this report is authorized.

Prepared by:

L. Billard
L. Billard,
Associate Professor of Statistics
Florida State University

Reviewed by:

Released by:

M. G. Sovereign, Acting Chm
MICHAEL G. SOVEREIGN, Chairman
Department of Operations Research

William M. Tolles
WILLIAM M. TOLLES
Dean of Research

(19) 55-79-029

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER NPS 55-79-029	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. ADA085125	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Calculation of State Probabilities for a Stochastic Lanchester Combat Model,	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Rept.	
7. AUTHOR(s) L. Billard	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N62271-79-M-2395	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, Ca. 93940	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, Ca. 93940	12. REPORT DATE November 1979	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 32 (1234)	
	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Lanchester combat process Stochastic model State probabilities		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Lanchester (1914) presented his original combat model between two forces in a deterministic framework. Here, it is shown how the underlying state probabilities of a stochastic analogue of Lanchester's model can be calculated.		

139850

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

CALCULATION OF STATE PROBABILITIES FOR A
STOCHASTIC LANCHESTER COMBAT MODEL

by

L. Billard
Florida State University

ABSTRACT

Lanchester (1914) presented his original combat model between two forces in a deterministic framework. Here, it is shown how the underlying state probabilities of a stochastic analogue of Lanchester's model can be calculated.

KEYWORDS: Lanchester combat process,
stochastic model,
state probabilities.

Accession For	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
NTIS GRA&I	<input type="checkbox"/>
DDC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	<input type="checkbox"/>
By	
Distribution	
Announced	
Unannounced	
Justification	
A	

CALCULATION OF STATE PROBABILITIES FOR A
STOCHASTIC LANCHESTER COMBAT MODEL

by

L. Billard
Florida State University

1. INTRODUCTION

Lanchester (1914) presented a mathematical model describing the conflict situation of two forces in combat losing units due to attrition. This model was deterministic in nature and as, for example, in Gye and Lewis (1976) this approach is known to break down in certain cases most especially when the two sides are nearly or equally matched. In these circumstances, it is more imperative that the process be described from a stochastic outlook.

Therefore Billard (1979) considers how stochastic analogues of many deterministic Lanchester-type combat models can be formulated. Basically the processes can be represented as either a bivariate death process or a bivariate birth and death process. That paper includes a brief discussion of how the resultant Chapman-Kolmogorov (differential-difference) equations can be solved to provide expressions for the underlying state probabilities. The techniques employed are general in nature and can be used successfully on a very wide ranging array of model situations.

It is proposed here to demonstrate just how these techniques can be employed. This is done using the original Lanchester process, a process of great interest in its own right. First, however, the basic mathematical deterministic and stochastic models for the Lanchester process is reviewed briefly in Section 2. A discussion of the Severo (1969) recursion solution technique is presented in Section 3 as it pertains to the special nature of our problem. A partitioning scheme which further facilitates exploitation of the underlying structure is described in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5 the solution is developed.

2. THE LANCHESTER MODEL

Let $B(t)$ and $R(t)$ be the number of units (men, tanks, etc.) at time t in the Blue and Red force, respectively. Let particular values of these (integer valued) variables be b and r , respectively. Let $B(0) = B_0$ and $R(0) = R_0$, assumed to be known nonnegative quantities. The two forces are in combat with each other and lose units by attrition. In the original Lanchester (1914) model formulation, the force sizes at time t are modelled deterministically according to the equations

$$\frac{db}{dt} = -\gamma_1 r \quad (1)$$

and

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = -\gamma_2 b ,$$

where γ_1 and γ_2 are the attrition rates of the Red and Blue forces, respectively. For convenience, we rescale so that $\gamma_2 = 1$ and $\gamma_1 = \lambda$ where now λ is the relative effectiveness of the Blue force to the Red force.

A stochastic model for this Lanchester model can be presented when we view the process as a bivariate pure death process. Thus $B(t)$ and $R(t)$ are now random variables. Suppose

$$p_{b,r}(t) = \Pr\{B(t) = b, R(t) = r\}.$$

Then, the corresponding Chapman-Kolmogorov (differential-difference) equations are

$$\frac{d}{dt} p_{b,r}(t) = -(\lambda r + b) p_{b,r}(t) + \lambda r p_{b+1,r}(t) + b p_{b,r+1}(t), \quad (2a)$$

for $(b,r) \in A = \{(b,r): 0 \leq b \leq B_0, 0 \leq r \leq R_0\}$. For boundary values of A , certain obvious adjustments are necessary in (2a). Thus, when $b = B_0$, that is, for points (B_0, r) in A , $r \neq 0$ and $r \neq R_0$ the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations are

$$\frac{d}{dt} p_{B_0,r}(t) = -(\lambda r + B_0) p_{B_0,r}(t) + B_0 p_{B_0,r+1}(t); \quad (2b)$$

when $r = R_0$, that is, for points (b, R_0) in A , $b \neq B_0$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} p_{r,R_0}(t) = -(\lambda R_0 + b) p_{b,R_0}(t) + \lambda R_0 p_{b+1,R_0}(t); \quad (2c)$$

when $r = 0$, that is, for points $(b, 0)$ in A ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} p_{b,0}(t) = b p_{b,1}(t); \quad (2d)$$

when $b = 0$, that is, for points $(0, b)$ in A ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} p_{0,r}(t) = \lambda r p_{1,r}(t); \quad (2e)$$

and when $(b,r) = (B_0, R_0)$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} p_{B_0,R_0}(t) = -(\lambda R_0 + B_0) p_{B_0,R_0}(t). \quad (2f)$$

These equations (2) can be solved by adopting the technique of Severo (1969). The first step then is to identify each point (b,r) in A by a counting coordinate k given by

$$k = k(b,r) = (B_0 + 1)(R_0 + 1) - b(R_0 + 1) - r .$$

Then if we set

$$y_k(t) = p_{b,r}(t) , \quad (3)$$

we may rewrite (2) in terms of the new coordinate k . We suffice by writing (2a) only, the other parts of (2) following similarly. Thus, equation (2a) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt} y_k(t) = -(\lambda r + b) y_k(t) + \lambda r y_{k-R_0-1}(t) + b y_{k-1}(t) . \quad (4)$$

Or, in matrix terms

$$\frac{d}{dt} \underline{y}(t) = \underline{B} \underline{y}(t) . \quad (5)$$

Clearly, from (4), the matrix of coefficients \underline{B} is lower triangular. Therefore we can use Severo's recursive result to give us

$$\underline{y}(t) = \underline{C} \underline{e}(t) \quad (6)$$

where $\underline{e}(t)$ is the $(B_0 + 1)(R_0 + 1) \times 1$ vector with elements $\exp(b_k t)$ with b_k being the k th diagonal element of \underline{B} . The elements $c(i,j)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, (B_0 + 1)(R_0 + 1)$, of the matrix

C can be found from Severo (1969, Theorem 1). That is,

$$c(i,j) = \begin{cases} a_1, & i = j = 1, \\ a_i - \sum_{u=1}^{i-1} c(i,u), & i = j \geq 2, \\ \underline{b}'(i,i-1) \underline{c}(i-1,j) \underline{h}(j,i-1), & i > j, \\ 0, & i < j, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c(i,j) &= c_0(i,j) + tc_1(i,j) + \dots + t^{i-j} c_{i-j}(i,j); \\ \underline{b}'(i,j) &= (b_{i1}, \dots, b_{ij}), \quad i \geq j, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

with b_{ij} the (ij) th element of B;

$$\underline{h}'(j,i) = (\delta_0(b_j - b_i), \dots, \delta_{i-j-1}(b_j - b_i), \quad i > j, \quad (9)$$

with

$$\delta_i(y) = \begin{cases} (i!/y^{i+1}) \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^{i-j} (yt)^j / j!, & y \neq 0, \\ t^{i+1}/(i+1), & y = 0; \end{cases}$$

$$(\underline{c}(i,j))_{rs} = \begin{cases} c_{s-1}(r,j), & r \geq j+s-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

for $r = 1, \dots, i$ and $s = 1, \dots, i-j+1$; and $a_k = y_k(0)$,

$k = 1, \dots, (B_0+1)(R_0+1)$.

3. THE SOLUTION MATRIX \underline{C}

The expressions for finding \underline{C} given in the previous section are the general ones given by Severo. However, a close examination of our particular problem shows that some interesting simplifications can be obtained.

Typically, $\underline{a} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. This corresponds to $B(0) = B_0$ and $R(0) = R_0$ with probability one. We shall assume this holds in the rest of this paper. The adjustment for the general \underline{a} case is trivial.

We notice that $\underline{b}(i, i-1)$ is simply the i th row of the subdiagonal matrix of \underline{B} . From (2) (or (5)), in our case this vector has at most two "non-zero" elements corresponding to the coefficients of $y_{k-1}(r)$ and $y_{k-R_0-1}(t)$. Note that in some instances these values may "happen to be" zero. All other elements of $\underline{b}(i, i-1)$ are zero. We exploit this fact in the actual determination of the elements of \underline{C} .

A further simplification occurs when the coefficients of \underline{B} are time independent as is the situation for our Lanchester model. We first note that the recursiveness in the solution enters through the $\underline{C}_{rs}(i-1, j)$ matrix. We also observe that in general we can express obtained elements $c(i, j)$ as a polynomial in t , viz.,

$$c(i, j) = c_0(i, j) + tc_1(i, j) + \dots + t^{i-j}c_{i-j}(i, j) .$$

Thus, the elements in the first column of $\underline{C}_{rs}(i-1, j)$ are the appropriate coefficients of t^0 in the expansion of the $c(i, j)$'s, the elements in the second column are the appropriate coefficients of t^1 in the expansion of the $c(i, j)$'s, and so on. Now, in many problems of practical interest, and in particular in our present model, the elements $c(i, j)$ are time independent. That is, all elements in columns other than the first are zero. Hence, in $\underline{h}(i-1, j)$ we need only determine the first element $\delta_0(b_j - b_i)$. As a final comment, if $b_j = b_i$, then $\delta_0(0)$ is a function of t . However, typically this situation arises when we are in a row i whose $\underline{b}(i, i-1)$ $\underline{C}_{rs}(i-1, j)$ elements are all zero. Thus, the independence of $c(i, j)$ on t remains intact.

By exploiting these features, we can determine more readily the elements of \underline{C} . Before doing this (in Section 5), we shall present a partitioned form of \underline{B} and hence \underline{C} which allows us to observe much of this underlying structure at a glance.

4. A PARTITIONING SCHEME

First we note that the counting coordinate k orders the points (b,r) in A as follows: $(B_0, R_0), (B_0, R_0-1), \dots, (B_0, 0); (B_0-1, R_0), \dots, (B_0-1, 0); \dots; (0, R_0), \dots, (0, 0)$. Thus we partition these into groups whose b coordinate is common. That is, we may write

$$\underline{B} = (\underline{b}_{uv}), \quad u, v = 1, \dots, B_0+1,$$

and the "elements," or submatrices, \underline{b}_{uv} have elements

$$\underline{b}_{uv} = (b_{uv}(p,q)), \quad p, q = 1, \dots, R_0+1.$$

This is illustrated in Table 1 for the case $(B_0, R_0) = (4, 2)$. Thus, the $k = k(b,r)$ th row of \underline{B} corresponds to the $p = (R_0-r+1)$ th row of the $u = (B_0-b+1)$ th submatrix of \underline{B} ; similarly for the columns of \underline{B} .

To insert the appropriate coefficients in \underline{B} , we refer to equation (2) (or (4)). It is immediately apparent that the coefficients of $p_{b,r}(t)$ (or $y_k(t)$) constitute the diagonal elements of \underline{B} ; the coefficients of $p_{b,r+1}(t)$ (or $y_{k-1}(t)$) constitute the off-diagonal elements of the diagonal submatrices; the coefficients of $p_{b+1,r}(t)$ (or $y_{k-R_0-1}(t)$) constitute the diagonal elements of the off-diagonal submatrices; and all other elements are zero. If we assert that off-diagonal elements of a submatrix cannot go "outside" that submatrix, then the

adjustments to equation (2a) which produced the remaining equations of (2) when dealing with boundary values of (b,r) are automatically taken care of. Thus, for example, when $(B_0, R_0) = (4, 2)$ the equation relating to the boundary point $(2, 2)$ does not have a term corresponding to $p_{2,3}(t)$ (or $y_{k-1}(t)$). If so, our \underline{B} matrix would have an entry in the spot indicated by * in Table 1.

Thus, in our Lanchester model, \underline{B} has elements

$$b_{uu}(p, p) = \begin{cases} -\{\lambda(R_0 - p + 1) + (B_0 - u + 1)\} & , \quad u = 1, \dots, B_0, \quad p = 1, \dots, R_0, \\ 0, & u = B_0 + 1 \text{ and/or } p = R_0 + 1; \end{cases}$$

$$b_{uu}(p, p-1) = (B_0 - u + 1), \quad u = 1, \dots, B_0 + 1, \quad p = 2, \dots, R_0 + 1;$$

and

$$b_{u, u-1}(p, p) = \lambda(R_0 - p + 1), \quad u = 2, \dots, B_0 + 1, \quad p = 1, \dots, R_0 + 1.$$

Clearly then such a partitioning scheme facilitates the construction of the matrix of coefficients \underline{B} . We shall see that the analogous partitioning of the solution matrix \underline{C} also facilitates the derivation of its elements. Thus, we write

$$\underline{C} = (c_{uv}), \quad u, v = 1, \dots, B_0 + 1,$$

and the "elements," or submatrices, \underline{c}_{uv} have elements

$$\underline{c}_{uv} = (c_{uv}(p,q)), \quad p, q = 1, \dots, R_0+1 .$$

We calculate these elements in the next section.

5. CALCULATION OF C

Quite clearly, from (6), once the matrix C has been determined, the state probabilities follow immediately. As indicated earlier we exploit the underlying structure of \underline{B} and the accompanying partitioning scheme. For illustrative purposes, let us take the particular case $(B_0, R_0) = (4, 2)$ and $\lambda = .8$. The corresponding \underline{B} matrix of coefficients is given in Table 1.

From equation (7), it is clear that

$$c_{uv}(p, q) = 0 \quad (11)$$

whenever $u < v$ and/or $p < q$. That is, the upper diagonal submatrices c_{uv} are zero and the upper diagonals of the remaining submatrices are also zero.

Let us take the elements in the first column for $(v, q) = (1, 1)$.

First, from the initial condition, we have

$$c_{11}(1, 1) = a_1 = 1.$$

Let us proceed with the $(1, 1)$ elements of the submatrices $(u, 1)$, $u = 1, \dots, B_0 + 1$. We find from Severo,

$$c_{21}(1,1) = (1.6 \ 0 \ 0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ - \ 0 \\ - \ - \ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_0(\cdot) \\ - \\ - \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

where "-" indicates the presence of a "nonzero" element (which in a particular case may happen to equal zero). However because of the zeros in $\underline{b}(i,i-1)$, or in $\underline{c}_{rs}(i-1,j)$, we do not need to determine these quantities. Thus, equation (12) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} c_{21}(1,1) &= (1.6) c_{11}(1,1) / \{b_{11}(1,1) - b_{22}(1,1)\} \\ &= -1.6 . \end{aligned}$$

In general,

$$c_{u1}(1,1) = (1.6) c_{u-1,k}(1,1) / \{b_{11}(1,1) - b_{uu}(1,1)\} ;$$

or,

$$c_{u1}(1,1) = (-1)^{u-1} (1.6)^{u-1} / u! , \quad u = 1, \dots, B_0 + 1 .$$

Let us consider the column $(v,q) = (2,1)$. Now,

$$c_{22}(1,1) = - \sum_{q=1}^3 c_{21}(1,q) = 1.6 + 0 + 0 = 1.6 .$$

Proceeding as before, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{32}(1,1) &= (1.6 \quad 0 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} 1.6 \\ - & 0 \\ - & - & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_0(\cdot) \\ - \\ - \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= (1.6) c_{22}(1,1) / \{b_{22}(1,1) - b_{33}(1,1)\} \\
 &= -2.56 .
 \end{aligned}$$

In general,

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{u2}(1,1) &= (1.6) c_{u-1,2}(1,1) / \{b_{22}(1,1) - b_{uu}(1,1)\} \\
 &= (-1)^u (1.6)^{u-1} / (u-1)! , \quad u = 2, \dots, B_0+1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this manner, we can calculate all the $c_{uv}(1,1)$ elements. The essential feature to note here is that in order to determine a particular $c_{uv}(1,1)$ element, first glance at the Severo result equation (7) suggests that all previous row elements in that column of \underline{C} are necessary, whereas by exploiting the special nature of our problem we only require the previous $c_{u-1,v}(1,1)$ element.

Let us now consider the elements $c_{uv}(2,1)$. In particular, let us take the first column $(v,q) = (1,1)$. Then, from (7),

$$c_{11}(2,1) = 4c_{11}(1,1)/(b_{11}(1,1) - b_{11}(2,2)) \\ = -5.0.$$

Next,

$$c_{21}(2,1) = (0 \quad .8 \quad 0 \quad 3) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -5.0 & 0 \\ - & - & - \\ -1.6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5_0(\cdot) \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \{(.8) c_{11}(2,1) + 3c_{21}(1,1)\}/\{b_{11}(1,1) - b_{22}(2,2)\} \\ = 4.889.$$

In general,

$$c_{u1}(2,1) \\ = \{(.8) c_{u-1,1}(2,1) + (B_0 - u + 1) c_{u1}(1,1)\}/\{b_{11}(1,1) - b_{uu}(2,2)\} ,$$

$$u = 1, \dots, B_0 + 1 .$$

Similarly, we can determine that

$$c_{u2}(2,1) \\ = \{(.8) c_{u-1,2}(2,1) + (B_0 - u + 1) c_{u2}(1,1)\}/\{b_{22}(1,1) - b_{uu}(2,2)\} ,$$

$$u = 2, \dots, B_0 + 1 .$$

Likewise we can calculate all the $c_{uv}(2,1)$ elements. Again an essential feature is that to find a particular $c_{uv}(2,1)$ we need only the two previous elements $c_{u-1,v}(2,1)$ and $c_{uu}(1,1)$ and not all the previous elements in that column.

Therefore by repeating this process, we can calculate the matrix \underline{C} . That is, we determine the diagonal element

$$c_{uu}(p,p) = - \sum_{v=1}^{u-1} \sum_{q=1}^p c_{uv}(p,q) - \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} c_{uu}(p,q)$$

Once each diagonal element is calculated, the other elements in that same column $(v,q) = (u,p)$ can be determined according to

$$c_{uv}(p,q) = \{\lambda(R_0 - p + 1) c_{u-1,v}(p,q) + (B_0 - u + 1) c_{uv}(p-1,q)\} / \{b_{vv}(q,q) - b_{uu}(p,p)\} \quad (13)$$

for $u = v, \dots, B_0 + 1$, $v = 1, \dots, B_0 + 1$, $p = q, \dots, R_0 + 1$, $q = 1, \dots, R_0 + 1$, and where equation (11) applies when applicable in (13).

For the computer user what would be a potential storage problem if all the previous $c_{uv}(p,q)$ elements were needed, does not cause any undue concern since only the two appropriate values need be retained. This assumes the program has been set up to print out the values of \underline{C} as they are determined and that a running sum of the row elements is retained (for the

calculation of subsequent diagonal elements; see equation (7)).

The complete matrix \underline{C} for our example is shown in Table 2.

6. THE STATE PROBABILITIES

Once the elements of \underline{C} have been calculated, the state probabilities $p_{b,r}(t)$ follow readily from (3) and (6). Thus, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\{B(t) = 2, R(t) = 1\} &= p_{2,1}(t) \\ &= -2.311e^{-5.6t} + 1.6e^{-4.8t} + 5.511e^{-4.6t} - 4.089e^{-3.8t} \\ &\quad - 3.200e^{-3.66} + 2.489e^{-2.8t}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$\Pr\{\text{Red forces lose no units}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{b=0}^4 \Pr\{B(t) = b, R(t) = 2\} \\ &= 0.192e^{-5.6t} + 0.376e^{-4.6t} + 0.142e^{-3.6t} + 0.263e^{-2.6t} + 0.027. \end{aligned}$$

In general,

$\Pr\{B(t) = b, R(t) = r\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{v=1}^{B_0-b} \sum_{q=1}^{R_0+1} c_{B_0-b+1,v}^{(R_0-r+1,q)} \exp(tb_{vv}(q,q)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^{R_0-r+1} c_{B_0-b+1,B_0-b+1}^{(R_0-r+1,q)} \exp(tb_{B_0-b+1,B_0-b+1}(q,q)). \end{aligned}$$

Or, more simply, in terms of the k notation used before partitioning,

$$p_{b,r}(t) \equiv y_k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^k c(k,j) \exp(b_j t) .$$

A knowledge of the state probabilities permits the derivation of other quantities of interest, for example, the expected force sizes.

Acknowledgment

I am indebted to Professor P. A. W. Lewis for suggesting this problem and for subsequent discussion with him and Professor D. P. Gaver and Professor P. A. Jacobs. Support by the Office of Naval Research under Grant NR-42-284 at the Naval Postgraduate School and partial support by the National Institutes of Health under Grant 1 R01 GM 26851-01 is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

Billard, L. (1979). Stochastic Lanchester-type Combat Models I. Naval Postgraduate School Technical Report, NPS55-79-022, November.

Gye, R. and Lewis, T. (1976). Lanchester's equations: mathematics and the art of war. A historical survey and some new results. Math. Scientist. 1, 107-119.

Lanchester, F. W. (1914). Aircraft in warfare: the dawn of the fourth arm-No. V, the principle of concentration. Engineering 98, 422-423.

Severo, N.C. (1969). A recursion theorem on solving differential-difference equations and applications to some stochastic processes. J. Appl. Probl. 6, 673-681.

Matrix of coefficients (of transition generators) \underline{B} when $B_0 = 4$, $R_0 = 2$, $\lambda = .8$

21

Coefficients of "solution" matrix \underline{C} when $B_0 = 4$, $R_0 = 2$, $\lambda = .8$

.754

DISTRIBUTION LIST

	NO. OF COPIES
Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314	2
Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940	2
Dean of Research Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940	1
Statistics and Probability Program Code 436 Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217	3
Office of Naval Research New York Area Office 715 Broadway-5th Floor New York, N.Y. 10003	1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Attn: Director for Science 666 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210	1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Attn: Director for Science 536 South Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605	1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Attn: Dr. Richard Lau 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, CA 91101	1

	Copies
Technical Information Division Naval Research Lab Washington, D.C. 20375	1
Dr. Barbara Bailar Associate Director Statistical Standards Bureau of Census Washington, D.C. 20233	1
Director, AMSAA Attn: DRXSY-MP, H. Cohen Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	1
Dr. Gerhard Heiche Naval Air Systems Command (NAIR 03) Jefferson Plaza No. 1 Arlington, VA 20360	1
B. E. Clark RR No. 2, Box 647-B Graham, N.C. 27253	1
ATAA-SL, Library U. S. Army TRADOC SYSTEMS ANALYSIS ACTIVITY Department of the Army White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002	1
Technical Library Naval Ordnance Station Indian Head, MD 20640	1
Bureau of Naval Personnel Dept. of the Navy Technical Library Washington, D.C. 20370	1
Library Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, CA 92152	1
Professor Robert Serfling Dept. of Math. Sci. Johns Hopkins Univer. Baltimore, MD 21218	1

	Copies
Prof. H. Chernoff Dept. of Math. MIT Cambridge, MA 02139	1
Prof. F. A. Tillman Dept. of Ind. Eng. Kansas State University Manhattan, KAN 66506	1
Prof. D. O. Siegmund Dept. of Stat. Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305	1
Prof. M. L. Puri Dept. of Math. Indiana Univ. Foundation P.O. Box F Bloomington, IN 47401	1
Dr. M. J. Fischer Defense Communications Agency Defense Communications Eng. Ctr 1869 Wiehle Ave. Reston, VA 22090	1
Defense Logistics Studies Info. Exchange Army Logistics Management Ctr Attn: Mr. J. Dowling Fort Lee, VA 23801	1
Prof. Grace Wahba Dept. of Stat. University of Wisconsin Madison, WI 53706	1
Mr. David S. Siegel Code 210T Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217	1
Reliability Analysis Center (RAC) RADC/RBRAC Attn: I. L. Krulac, Data Coordinator/Government Programs Griffiss AFB, NY 13441	1

	Copies
Mr. Jim Gates Code 9211 Fleet Material Support Office U.S. Navy Supply Center Mechanicsburg, PA 17055	1
Mr. Ted Tupper Code M-311C Military Sealift Command Dept. of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20390	1
Mr. Barnard H. Bissinger Math. Sci. Capitol Campus Pennsylvania State Univ. Middletown, PA 17057	1
Prof. W. L. Smith Dept. of Statistics Univ. of North Carolina Chapel Hill, NC 27514	1
Prof. S. E. Fienberg Dept. of App. Stat. Univ. of Minnesota St. Paul, MN 55108	1
Prof. G. L. Sievers Dept. of Mathematics Western Michigan Univ. Kalamazoo, MI 49008	1
Prof. R. L. Dykstra Dept. of Stat. Univ. of Missouri Columbia, MI 65201	1
Prof. F. A. Graybill Dept. of Stat. Colorado State Univ. Fort Collins, CO 80523	1
Prof. J. S. Rustagi Dept. of Stat. Ohio State Univ. Res. Foundation Columbus, Ohio 43212	1

	Copies
Prof. Ralph A. Bradley Dept. of Stat. Florida State Univ. Tallahassee, FL 32306	1
Prof. G. S. Watson Dept. of Stat. Princeton University Princeton, NJ 08540	1
Prof. P. J. Bickel Dept. of Stat. Univ. of California Berkeley, CA 94720	1
Prof. F. J. Anscombe Dept. of Stat. Yale Univ. Box 2179-Yale Station New Haven, CT 96520	1
Prof. S. S. Gupta Dept. of Stat. Purdue Univ. Lafayette, IN 47907	1
Prof. R. E. Bechhofer Dept. of Operations Research Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14850	1
Prof. D. B. Owen Dept. of Stat. Southern Methodist Univ. Dallas, TX 75275	1
Prof. H. Solomon Dept. of Stat. Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305	1
Dr. D. E. Smith Desmatics, Inc. P.O. Box 618 State College, PA 16801	1
Prof. R. L. Disney Dept. of Ind. Eng. and Oper. Res. Virginia Polytechnic Inst. and State Univ. Blacksburg, VA 24061	1

	Copies
Office of Naval Research San Francisco Area Office One Hallidie Plaza-Suite 601 San Francisco, CA 94102	1
Office of Naval Research Scientific Liaison Group Attn: Scientific Director American Embassy-Tokyo APO San Francisco 96503	1
Applied Mathematics Laboratory David Taylor Naval Ship Research and Development Center Attn: Mr. G. H. Gleissner Bethesda, MD 20084	1
Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code AX) Attn: Dr. A. L. Slafkosky, Scientific Advisor Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Director, National Security Agency Attn: Mr. Stahly and Dr. Maar (R51) Fort Meade, MD 20755	2
Navy Library National Space Technology Lab Attn: Navy Librarian Bay St. Louis, MS 39522	1
U. S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Attn: Dr. J. Chandra Research Triangle Park, NC 27706	1
OASD(I&L), Pentagon Attn: Mr. Charles S. Smith Washington, D.C. 20301	1
ARI Field Unit-USAREUR Attn: Library c/o ODSCPER HQ USAEREUR and 7th Army APO New York 09403	1
Naval Underwater Systems Center Attn: Dr. Derrill J. Bordelon, Code 21 Newport, R.I. 02840	1

	Copies
Mr. F. R. DeLPriori Code 224 Oper. Test and Eval. Force (OPTEVPOR) Norfolk, VA 23511	1
Prof. J. C. Gardiner Dept. of Stat. Michigan State Univ. East Lansing, MI 48824	1
Prof. P. J. Huber Dept. of Statistics Harvard University Cambridge, MA 92318	1
Dr. H. Leon Harter Dept. of Mathematics Wright State University Dayton, Ohio 45435	1
Prof. F. T. Wright Dept. of Mathematics Univ. of Missouri Rolla, MI 65401	1
Prof. T. Robertson Dept. of Statistics University of Iowa Iowa City, Iowa 52242	1
Prof. K. Ruben Gabriel Div. of Biostatistics Box 603 Univ. of Rochester Medical Center Rochester, NY 14642	1
Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, Ca. 93940	
Attn: J. Esary, Code 55Ey	1
D. Gaver, Code 55Gv	1
P. A. Jacobs, Code 55Jc	1
E. Kelleher, Code 55Ka	1
P.A.W. Lewis, Code 55Lw	10
P. Milch, Code 55Mh	1
R. Richards, Code 55Rh	1
M. G. Sovereign, Code 55Zo	1
R. Stampfel, Code 55	1
C. F. Taylor, Code 55Ta	1
J. Taylor, Code 55Tw	1
A.R. Washburn, Code 55Ws	1

	Copies
Prof. J. Newman Dept. of Statistics University of California Berkeley, CA 94720	1
Prof. W. R. Schucany Dept. of Statistics Southern Methodist Univ. Dallas, TX 75275	1
Prof. Lynne Billard Dept. of Statistics Florida State University Tallahassee, FL 32306	30
Professor J. Lehoczky Department of Statistics Carnegie-Mellon Pittsburgh, PA 15213	1